

ITEM 6.1: ADOPTION OF RESOLUTION – 311 VERNON STREET – MULTI-HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN RESOLUTION – PL16-0336

REQUEST

The request is the adoption of a Resolution affirming the Multi-Hazard Mitigation Plan dated December 2023 is adopted and incorporated by reference as part of the Safety Element of the City of Roseville General Plan.

Applicant – City of Roseville
Owner – Citywide

SUMMARY RECOMMENDATION

The Planning Division recommends that the Planning Commission take the following actions:

1. Recommend City Council adopt the Resolution.

SUMMARY OF OUTSTANDING ISSUES

There are no outstanding issues associated with this request.

BACKGROUND AND EVALUATION

The California Disaster Assistance Act authorizes the California Governor’s Office of Emergency Services (Cal OES) to offer financial assistance for project costs incurred by local governments as the result of repair, restoration, or replacement of property damaged or destroyed by a disaster. Additional funding is made available to jurisdictions whose Local Hazard Mitigation Plans meet the standards of AB 2140 (2006), as enacted in Government Code Section 8685.9. In addition to some content standards, the Government Code states that “for any eligible project, the state share shall not exceed 75 percent of total state eligible costs unless the local agency is located within a city [] that has adopted a local hazard mitigation plan [] as part of the safety element of its general plan [].”

The City has a local hazard mitigation plan called the Multi-Hazard Mitigation Plan (MMHP), which is incorporated by reference in the Safety Element of the City’s General Plan. Pursuant to state law the MMHP is updated every five years, and to avoid the necessity of a General Plan Amendment upon each update, the Safety Element of the General Plan 2035 (adopted on August 5, 2020) states as follows: “The Multi-Hazard Mitigation Plan, **as amended by the Roseville City Council from time to time** [emphasis added], is hereby incorporated into the Safety Element by reference as though it were fully set forth herein.” Consistent with the Government Code, the City’s Multi-Hazard Mitigation Plan is adopted into the Safety Element of the General Plan, and the language clearly establishes that any future amendments of the MMHP are also incorporated. Despite this language, Cal OES is requiring a Resolution adopting the updated MMHP (dated December 2023) as part of the Safety Element.

Both the General Plan and the MMHP are already adopted and the MMHP is already incorporated by reference, as amended, into the General Plan. To comply with Cal OES guidelines, the attached draft Resolution *affirms* that the 2023 Multi-Hazard Mitigation Plan is adopted into the Safety Element of the General Plan.

PUBLIC OUTREACH

A public hearing notice of the Planning Commission hearing was published on October 3, 2025 in the Roseville Press Tribune. To date, no comments have been received.

ENVIRONMENTAL DETERMINATION

The project is categorically exempt from the provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15061(b)(3), General Rule, and pursuant to Section 305 of the City of Roseville CEQA Implementing Procedures. The project is a procedural action to document compliance with Government Code Section 8685.9 and has no potential for any physical environmental impacts.

RECOMMENDATION

The Planning Division recommends the Planning Commission take the following actions:

1. Recommend City Council adopt a Resolution (Exhibit A) affirming the Multi-Hazard Mitigation Plan is adopted into the Safety Element of the General Plan.

Exhibits

- A. Draft Multi-Hazard Mitigation Plan Resolution

<p>Note to Applicant and/or Developer: Please contact the Planning Division staff at (916) 774-5276 prior to the Commission meeting if you have any questions on any of the recommended conditions for your project. If you challenge the decision of the Commission in court, you may be limited to raising only those issues which you or someone else raised at the public hearing held for this project, or in written correspondence delivered to the Planning Manager at, or prior to, the public hearing.</p>
